

a clear signal of the purely political manner in which Secretary Babbitt intended to operate the NPS, and resulted in both Democratic and Republican-authored measures to require that the head of the NPS know something about parks other than having vacationed there.

Section 7 of the bill reauthorizes the National Park System advisory board. The statutory authorization for this board expired a couple years ago. While the board has been reauthorized administratively, the role of this board as an independent advisor to the Secretary could be enhanced if it were reestablished by law.

Section 8 establishes and expands the Challenge Cost Share Program for the NPS on a permanent basis. This program, which permits Federal dollars to be leveraged with non-Federal dollars, has proven very effective for the Forest Service; and it is expected to provide similar benefits for the National Park Service at a time when appropriations are limited.

Finally, section 9 of the bill permits the NPS to recover costs from damages to natural resources in the same manner as costs are recovered from damages to marine resources. When the Federal Government recovers costs from such damage, it makes far more sense to apply those funds to restore the resources than to deposit such funds into the Treasury, as is currently the policy.

Mr. Speaker, as Members can see, this bill contains a number of very important provisions which will help our parks, its employees, and make congressional oversight more effective. I commend all Members who have provided input into the bill, Democrats and Republicans alike, and urge all Members to support this bipartisan legislation.

Mr. Speaker, I reserve the balance of my time.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, let me just say that I had hoped that we could keep this discussion of this bill bipartisan. Obviously, I have to disagree with some of the chairman's comments. This is a good bill.

Employee housing, I had a chance to go to Yellowstone over the recess and had a chance to spend some time with our Park Service employees, not just in law enforcement but also park rangers, men and women. The quality of these men and women is really outstanding. They are hard workers. Of course Yellowstone is the crown jewel.

They talked to me about this housing issue. Basically what you have is some of our, especially bachelor, park rangers living in what is generously called some very substandard housing. We have to do better. We have to do better for our park employees.

Let me address some of the chairman's statements. I disagree. I think Secretary Babbitt has done a good job

with the Park Service. I think Director Kennedy has done a good job, too. I differ with the chairman on whether Tom Brokaw or Robert Redford would have been good directors of the Park Service. I think what Secretary Babbitt is looking at is somebody with high visibility, to give the parks the visibility that they need.

I know the chairman agrees with me. We have got to find ways to ensure that these parks are funded. We need the private sector to help. I think that was one of the objectives viewed there. But I am not going to get into an argument with him, except to say that this administration has done a good job with the environment and with the Park Service, particularly Director Kennedy and Secretary Babbitt.

This is an occasion where, perhaps a few times that we have come together on a bill, we should recognize that that has happened. I commend the gentleman from Colorado [Mr. HEFLEY] and the gentleman from Utah [Mr. HANSEN] for this bill. It is a good one. They work with us. They compromise. We compromise. We have a good product that I think will advance the national interest.

□ 1445

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I yield myself such time as I may consume.

Mr. Speaker, I appreciate the words from the ranking member of the committee. Let me say that, as a Republican member, we have no desire to close any parks, contrary to what people have said, but to make them better.

I think this particular piece of legislation, as we waded through all the sections, points out and expedites the things that will make the parks better and make them work better; and we are very strong on the idea of taking care of our national parks. We have no argument with the administration on most things that they do, but in some of these areas we feel that what they do, but in some of these areas we feel that what should be done should be done not for what is politically expedient, but done for the benefit of the parks, and that is the agreement we thought we had when we first got into the business of this committee.

I appreciate all those who have worked so diligently on this bill. I personally feel this is an excellent piece of legislation, and I urge all Members to support it.

Mr. Speaker, I yield back the balance of my time.

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. MILLER of Florida). The question is on the motion offered by the gentleman from Utah [Mr. HANSEN] that the House suspend the rules and pass the bill, H.R. 2941, as amended.

The question was taken; and (two-thirds having voted in favor thereof) the rules were suspended and the bill, as amended, was passed.

A motion to reconsider was laid on the table.

GENERAL LEAVE

Mr. HANSEN. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that all Members may have 5 legislative days in which to revise and extend their remarks on H.R. 2941, the bill just passed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Is there objection to the request of the gentleman from Utah?

There was no objection.

ELECTRONIC FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT AMENDMENTS OF 1996

Mr. HORN. Mr. Speaker, I move to suspend the rules and pass the bill (H.R. 3802) to amend section 552 of title 5, United States Code, popularly known as the Freedom of Information Act, to provide for public access to information in an electronic format, and for other purposes, as amended.

The Clerk read as follows:

H.R. 3802

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Electronic Freedom of Information Act Amendments of 1996".

SEC. 2. FINDINGS AND PURPOSES.

(a) FINDINGS.—The Congress finds that—

(1) the purpose of section 552 of title 5, United States Code, popularly known as the Freedom of Information Act, is to require agencies of the Federal Government to make certain agency information available for public inspection and copying and to establish and enable enforcement of the right of any person to obtain access to the records of such agencies, subject to statutory exemptions, for any public or private purpose;

(2) since the enactment of the Freedom of Information Act in 1966, and the amendments enacted in 1974 and 1986, the Freedom of Information Act has been a valuable means through which any person can learn how the Federal Government operates;

(3) the Freedom of Information Act has led to the disclosure of waste, fraud, abuse, and wrongdoing in the Federal Government;

(4) the Freedom of Information Act has led to the identification of unsafe consumer products, harmful drugs, and serious health hazards;

(5) Government agencies increasingly use computers to conduct agency business and to store publicly valuable agency records and information; and

(6) Government agencies should use new technology to enhance public access to agency records and information.

(b) PURPOSES.—The purposes of this Act are to—

(1) foster democracy by ensuring public access to agency records and information;

(2) improve public access to agency records and information;

(3) ensure agency compliance with statutory time limits; and

(4) maximize the usefulness of agency records and information collected, maintained, used, retained, and disseminated by the Federal Government.

SEC. 3. APPLICATION OF REQUIREMENTS TO ELECTRONIC FORMAT INFORMATION.

Section 552(f) of title 5, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

"(f) For purposes of this section, the term—